

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 248135US3 97:18		
10/765,137	01/28/2004	Takayuki Onodera			
22850	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		
ł	4.	1
- 1		•

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/765,137	ONODERA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854	

Beror	e the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854			
TI	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY	FILED <u>22 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
this app places t a Requ	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
	period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The no e Exa	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of ti have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b) a may reduce an NOTICE OF A	me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latery earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
filing the	tice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
		hudaniana dha data af 60° a a ta ta	***			
o. ⊠ The pro T⊠(a)	oposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, hey raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause		
(a) ☐ T	hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ingregation and of search (see IAC	i E below),			
	hey are not deemed to place the application in be		ducina or simplifyina i	the issues for		
a	ppeal; and/or	•				
	hey present additional claims without canceling a					
Ŋ	NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims h	aving a "duplex stencil printer" raise	es a new issue and re	quire further		
	consideration and/or search. (See 37 CFR 1.116 at the sendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 004)		
	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
6. Newly	proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allo	wable claim(s).			_		
how the The stat	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is protus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 20</u> .					
	withdrawn from consideration:					
	R OTHER EVIDENCE					
because was not	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but applicant failed to provide a showing of good an earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and		
entered showing	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a		
10.	idavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
	quest for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note th	: ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:	·		RENY	m		
			REN YA	ÀI .		

PRIMARY EXAMINER